# School Facilities Report

THE RESULTS

OF A STATEWIDE

SURVEY TO

DETERMINE

THE PHYSICAL

**CONDITION &** 

CAPACITY OF

WISCONSIN'S

**PUBLIC SCHOOLS** 

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

# SCHOOL FACILITIES REPORT: THE RESULTS OF A STATEWIDE SURVEY TO DETERMINE THE PHYSICAL CONDITION AND CAPACITY OF WISCONSIN'S PUBLIC SCHOOLS

#### Prepared by:

Bob Soldner
School Administration Consultant
Division for Finance and Management
School Management Services and Federal Aids



Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction John T. Benson, State Superintendent Madison, Wisconsin

January, 2000

#### This publication is available from:

Division for Finance and Management
School Management Services and Federal Aids
125 South Webster Street
P.O. Box 7841
Madison, WI 53707-7841
(608) 266-2803
www2.dpi.state.wi.us/facsrvy/

Bulletin No. 00159

The Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction does not discriminate on the basis of sex, race, religion, age, national origin, ancestry, creed, pregnancy, marital or parental status, sexual orientation or physical, mental, emotional, or learning disability.



# SCHOOL FACILITIES REPORT: THE RESULTS OF A STATEWIDE SURVEY TO DETERMINE THE PHYSICAL CONDITION AND CAPACITY OF WISCONSIN'S PUBLIC SCHOOLS

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### Section

- I. Letter of Transmittal
- II. Introduction
- III. Statewide Survey Results

#### **Appendices**

- A. School Facility Survey
- B. School Districts Participating in the Facilities Survey
- C. School Districts Not Participating in the Facilities Survey



# State of Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 7841, Madison, WI 53707-7841

125 South Webster Street, Madison, WI 53702

(608) 266-3390 TDD (608) 267-2427 FAX (608) 267-1052

Internet Address: www.dpi.state.wi.us

John T. Benson State Superintendent

Steven B. Dold
Deputy State Superintendent

DATE:

January 24, 2000

TO:

The Honorable Richard Grobschmidt, Chair, Senate Education Committee

The Honorable Luther Olsen, Chair, Assembly Education Committee

The Honorable Stephen Nass, Chair, Assembly Education Reform Committee

FROM:

John T. Bergen, State Superintendent

SUBJECT:

School Facilities Report: The Results of a Statewide Survey to Determine the

Physical Condition and Capacity of Wisconsin's Public Schools

Section 115.33(4), Wis. Stats., requires the State Superintendent to conduct a study of the physical condition and capacity of the public schools and their suitability for use as public schools and submit a report summarizing the results of the study to the Legislature. In response to this statutory requirement, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) created a survey to be completed on a building-by-building basis for the 1998-99 school year. The questionnaire reflects input from DPI, the Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC), and the Wisconsin Association of School District Administrators (WASDA). The department requested that each individual school building within the school district be evaluated using the survey. Ultimately, 373 school districts (87.6% of the 426 districts) completed the survey on the conditions in 1,589 individual school buildings (85.1% of the estimated 1,868 individual school buildings, including partnership and charter schools).

The school facilities survey was divided into three sections. The first section inquired about the physical structure and mechanical features of the school building. Several questions under this section were adopted from school facilities surveys conducted by the United States General Accounting Office in 1995 and 1996. The second section dealt with school safety issues, and the third section addressed the educational appropriateness and suitability of the school building. Each school district administrator determined the most efficient means to ensure that the facilities survey was completed on a building-by-building basis.

The school facilities report is comprised of a statewide report, which summarizes the responses by survey question, and three appendices. The appendices include: (a) a copy of the school facility survey; (b) the 373 school districts that participated in the survey (districts that submitted at least one school building survey were listed as having participated); and (c) the 53 school districts that chose not to participate. In addition to this printed report, the DPI's web site (<a href="http://www2.dpi.state.wi.us/facsrvy/">http://www2.dpi.state.wi.us/facsrvy/</a>) provides access to related information, including a summary of survey results by cooperative educational service agency (CESA) and a database comprised of the individual school district survey results on a building-by-building basis.

The public's commitment to its children's future is exceptional, but this study indicates that some school facilities are inadequate. These survey results challenge us to ensure that quality educational opportunities exist across the state, so that no child is disadvantaged. I look forward to working with the Legislature, the Governor, and other interested parties to address our school facilities needs.

#### Introduction

Section 115.33(4), Wis. Stats., requires the State Superintendent to conduct a study of the physical condition and capacity of the public schools and their suitability for use as public schools and submit a report summarizing the results of the study to the Legislature. In response to this statutory requirement, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) created a school facility survey to be completed on a building-by-building basis for the 1998-99 school year. The questionnaire reflects input from DPI staff, including Bob Soldner, School Management Services; Russ Allen, representing the Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC); and Jeff Gruber, representing the Wisconsin Association of School District Administrators (WASDA.)

In May, 1999, the Department of Public Instruction, the Wisconsin Association of School District Administrators and the Association of Wisconsin School Administrators (AWSA) contacted school district administrators to seek their assistance in the collection of information that would be used to complete the statutorily-required study of public school facilities. The school facility survey was divided into three sections. It was envisioned that the first section, Physical Structure and Mechanical Features, would be completed by each district's building and grounds supervisor, with the assistance of the school principal. Several questions under this section were adopted from school facilities surveys conducted by the United States General Accounting Office in 1995 and 1996. The second section, School Safety, and the third section, Educational Appropriateness and Suitability, could have been completed by the school principal or a group of people with specific knowledge of an individual school building. However, each school district administrator ultimately determined the most efficient means to ensure that the facility survey was completed on a building-by-building basis. As a result of the various district staff responding to the survey, the responses most likely vary with each individual's knowledge and experience.

Recognizing the workload of school district staff and the need to summarize the survey results, the structure of the school facility survey was designed using an interactive software program available through Perseus Survey Solution Software. Donna Knauf, of DPI's School Financial Services Team, created the interactive survey program that was used to collect the information from the districts. District personnel were asked to use the interactive software program to complete the survey. The software program allowed the results of the survey to be submitted electronically to the Department, either by mailing a diskette back to the DPI or as an attachment to an email. Steve Conlin, of DPI's Application Development Team, designed the process that allowed the individual survey responses to be compiled and analyzed.

The school facilities report is comprised of a statewide report, which summarizes the responses by survey question, and three appendices. The appendices include: (a) a copy of the school facility survey; (b) the 373 school districts that participated in the survey (districts that submitted at least one school building survey were listed as having participated); and (c) the 53 school districts that chose not to participate. In addition to this printed report, the DPI's web site (http://www2.dpi.state.wi.us/facsrvy/) provides access to the following information:

- The report to the Legislature (School Facilities Report: The Results of a Statewide Survey to Determine the Physical Condition and Capacity of Wisconsin's Public Schools);
- The statewide summary report for 1998-99;
- Summaries of the survey results by cooperative educational service agency (CESA);
- The school facilities survey summary for Milwaukee Public Schools;
- The interactive software program, the printed school facility survey (PI-Q99-8), and the school facilities survey answer key; and
- A database comprised of individual school district results on a building-by-building basis.

The summary reports, including the statewide report, the twelve reports by CESA and the summary report for the Milwaukee Public Schools were designed by Sandra Zimmerman of DPI's Application Development Team.

Questions related to this report should be directed to the following DPI staff:

Bob Soldner School Administration Consultant (608) 266-2803

Brian Pahnke Director, School Management Services Team (608) 266-7475 Number of schools responding to survey: 1,589

Which best describes the location of your school building?

159	10.01%	Large Central City (Population greater than 400,000 and density greater than 6,000.)
-----	--------	--

315 19.82% Mid-Size Central City (Population less than 400,000 and density less than 6,000.)

208 13.09% Urban Fringe of Large City (Defined as urban by the Census Bureau.)

169 10.64% Urban Fringe of Mid-Size City (Defined as urban by the Census Bureau.)

26 1.64% Large Town (Population greater than or equal to 25,000.)

274 17.24% Small Town (Population less than 25,000 and greater than or equal to 2,500.)

438 27.56% Rural (Population less than 2,500 and coded rural by the Census Bureau.)

#### A. PHYSICAL STRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL FEATURES

4. When was the school originally constructed?

Pre-1900	38	2.40%	1930-1939	106	6.69%	1970-1979	140	8.83%
1900-1909	35	2.21%	1940-1949	35	2.21%	1980-1989	45	2.84%
1910-1919	57	3.60%	1950-1959	402	25.36%	1990-1999	184	11.61%
1920-1929	124	7.82%	1960-1969	419	26.44%			

5. In your opinion, is this school building overcrowded?

Yes 426 **26.88%** No 1,159 **73.12%** 

6. If the original building has been renovated or had additions, when was the most recent, MAJOR renovation or addition?

No Renovation	462	29.15%	1940-1949	4	0.25%	1970-1979	99	6.25%
Before 1930	20	1.26%	1950-1959	61	3.85%	1980-1989	142	8.96%
1930-1939	1	0.06%	1960-1969	135	8.52%	1990-1999	661	41.70%

7. How many total square feet does the original building have?

Minimum: 600 Maximum: 447,130 Median: 45,420 Average: 62,865

8. If the building has been renovated or added on to, how many total square feet exist now?

Minimum: 3,104 Maximum: 870,003 Median: 63,000 Average: 87,415

9. How many portable classrooms were in use during the 1998-99 school year?

Number of schools reporting the use of portable classrooms: 108

Minimum: 1 Maximum: 40 Median: 2 Average: 4

10. Does the school accommodate any of its students in instructional facilities located OFF-SITE?

Yes 110 **6.94%** No 1,475 **93.06%** 

11. How many of this school's FTE students are located in OFF-SITE facilities?

Number of schools reporting OFF-SITE facilities: 110

Minimum: 1 Maximum: 200 Median: 13 Average: 31

#### Definitions for questions #12 and #15:

**Excellent:** New or easily restorable to "like new" only minimal routine maintenance.

Good: Only routine maintenance or minor repair required.

Adequate: Some preventive maintenance and/or corrective repair required.

Fair: Fails to meet code and functional requirement in some cases; extensive repair.

Poor: Consistent substandard performance; major corrective repair or overhaul.

Replace: Non-operational or significantly substandard performance. Replacement

NA: Not Applicable

12. What is the overall condition of the original buildings and the permanent additions?

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Fair	Poor	Replace	NA
Original Buildings:	248	517	565	167	46	27	15
	<b>15.65%</b>	<b>32.62%</b>	<b>35.65%</b>	<b>10.54%</b>	<b>2.90%</b>	<b>1.70%</b>	<b>0.95%</b>
Permanent Additions:	330	421	315	92	22	8	397
	<b>20.82%</b>	<b>26.56%</b>	<b>19.87%</b>	<b>5.80%</b>	<b>1.39%</b>	<b>0.50%</b>	<b>25.05%</b>

13. What would be the total cost of all repairs/renovations/modernizations required to put this school's ON-SITE buildings in good overall condition? Statewide Total Costs = \$1,558,104,085

Number of schools reporting cost for repairs/renovations/modernizations: 881

Minimum: \$8,000 Maximum: \$27,676,000 Median: \$695,000 Average: \$1,768,563

14. On which of the sources listed below is this estimate based? Choose all that apply.

699 26.75% Does not apply--already in good (or better) overall condition.

458 17.53% Facilities inspection/assessment performed within the last three years by licensed professionals.

321 **12.28%** Repair/renovation/modernization work already being performed/contracted for.

499 19.10% Capital improvement/facilities master plan or schedule.

475 18.18% My best professional judgement.

161 6.16% Opinions of others.

15. Overall, what is the physical condition of each of the building features listed below for this school's ON-SITE buildings?

bullulings:	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Fair	Poor	Replace
Roofs:	343	663	354	132	67	26
	<b>21.64%</b>	<b>41.83%</b>	<b>22.33%</b>	<b>8.33%</b>	<b>4.23%</b>	<b>1.64%</b>
Framing, floors, foundations:	346	792	336	78	27	6
	<b>21.83%</b>	<b>49.97%</b>	<b>21.20%</b>	<b>4.92%</b>	<b>1.70%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>
Exterior walls, finishes, windows, doors:	307	602	390	211	59	16
	<b>19.37%</b>	<b>37.98%</b>	<b>24.61%</b>	<b>13.31%</b>	<b>3.72%</b>	<b>1.01%</b>
Interior finishes, trims:	270	784	400	98	27	6
	<b>17.03%</b>	<b>49.46%</b>	<b>25.24%</b>	<b>6.18%</b>	<b>1.70%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>
Plumbing:	249	603	539	119	58	17
	<b>15.71%</b>	<b>38.04%</b>	<b>34.01%</b>	<b>7.51%</b>	<b>3.66%</b>	<b>1.07%</b>
Heating ventilation, air conditioning:	243	535	465	230	85	27
	<b>15.33%</b>	<b>33.75%</b>	<b>29.34%</b>	<b>14.51%</b>	<b>5.36%</b>	<b>1.70%</b>
Electrical power:	328	582	344	199	119	13
	<b>20.69%</b>	<b>36.72%</b>	<b>21.70%</b>	<b>12.56%</b>	<b>7.51%</b>	<b>0.82%</b>
Electrical lighting:	348	757	319	117	37	7
	<b>21.96%</b>	<b>47.76%</b>	<b>20.13%</b>	<b>7.38%</b>	<b>2.33%</b>	<b>0.44%</b>
Life safety requirements (e.g., emergency lighting and warning systems, exit signs):	405	773	290	79	24	14
	<b>25.55%</b>	<b>48.77%</b>	<b>18.30%</b>	<b>4.98%</b>	<b>1.51%</b>	<b>0.88%</b>

16.	How adequate is your co	urrent m	aintenan	ice budge	et for keep	oing your b	uildings ir	n good o	verall cond	dition?
	Very Adequate:	112	7.07%	, D	S	omewhat A	Adequate:	716	45.17%	
	Adequate.	568	35.84%	, D		Not adequ	ıate at all:	189	11.92%	
17.	How satisfactory or unsa	atisfacto	ry is eac	h of the f	ollowing e	environmer	ntal factor	s in this	school's C	N-SITE
	buildings?		Very Sa	tisfactory	Satis	factory	Unsatis	sfactory	Very Uns	atisfactory
	Li	ghting:	383	24.16%	1,080	68.14%	118	7.44%	4	0.25%
	Н	eating:	313	19.75%	1,098	69.27%	161	10.16%	4	0.82%
	Vent	ilation:	263	16.59%	1,039	65.55%	257	16.21%	26	1.64%
	Indoor air d	quality:	279	17.60%	1,090	68.77%	198	12.49%	18	1.14%
	Acoustics for noise of	control:	250	15.77%	1,139	71.86%	183	11.55%	13	0.82%
ŀ	Flexibility of instructional	space:	217	13.69%	826	52.11%	462	29.15%	80	5.05%
	Energy efficiency/conser	vation:	262	16.53%	921	58.11%	367	23.15%	35	2.21%
	Physical security of but	ildings:	237	14.95%	1,090	68.77%	227	14.32%	31	1.96%
	Exterior	noise:	399	25.17%	1,105	69.72%	69	4.35%	12	0.76%
18.	How many instructional Total number of instruct	ional cla	ssrooms	s reported		s of the thir	rd Friday i		mber, 199	
	Minimum: 1	N	<b>l</b> aximum	: 142		Median:	25		Average:	30
19.	Does the school building	g have (	CENTRA	L air cond	ditioning (	cools all in	terior spa	ce)?		
	Yes 365 <b>23.03%</b>		No	1,220 7	76.97%					
20.	483 <b>38.09%</b>	Yes, in i Yes, in d	_	nal classi r labs.		rooms, co	mputer la		or the libra all that app	
21	How many instructional	classro	oms hav	e air cond	ditionina?					
	Minimum: 1		<i>l</i> aximum		3	Median:	5		Average:	11
22	Does this school have a	separa	te room	for a libra	ry/resour	ce/media d	enter?			
	Yes 1,529 <b>96.47%</b>		No	56	3.53%					
23	How does the size of your build		ol's libra	ry/resoure	ce/media	center con	npare with	n the size	e of a typic	cal
	Smaller: 30 <b>1.9</b>	5%	Abo	ut the sai	me size:	177 <b>11.</b>	52%	Lar	<i>ger:</i> 1,329	86.52%
24	. How many classrooms	would fit	t in the s	pace use	d for your	· library/res	ource/me	dia cent	er?	
	Minimum: .12	٨	/laximum	): <b>3</b>		Median:	3		Average:	3
25	. If you have a library/res	ource/m	nedia cer	nter. is it s	staffed by	a licensed	l. professi	onal libra	arian?	
	Yes 1,334 <b>86.85</b> %		No		13.15%		, ,			
26	. What percent time is yo Number of schools repo									
	Minimum: 3%	-	Maximum			Median:		-		81.45%

27. Does this school have a separate room for a parent/family center?

304 19.18% Yes

No 1.281 80.82%

28. How does the size of your school's parent/family center compare with the size of a typical classroom in your building?

Smaller:

134 44.08%

About the same size:

132 **43.42**%

Larger:

38 **12.50%** 

1

29. How many classrooms would fit in the space used for the parent/family center?

Minimum:

.17

Maximum:

16

Median:

1

Average:

**B. SCHOOL SAFETY** 

30. Does your school have a "Locked Door" policy (doors can only be opened from the inside)?

914 57.63%

672 42.37%

31. Does your school have a "Check-In" policy for school visitors?

Yes 1,531 96.53%

3.47% No 55

32. Does your school have an established emergency response plan for dealing with school safety issues?

Yes 1,527 96.28%

No 3.72% 59

33. Does your school have on-site security provided by police officers or private security guards?

Yes 196 **12.36%**  No 1,390 87.64%

34. Does your school have parent or other community volunteers to assist in monitoring the school?

Yes 226 14.25% No 1,360 85.75%

35. Does your school have metal detectors?

Yes 28 1.77% No 1,558 98.23%

36. Does your school have surveillance cameras located within the school building or on school grounds?

118 7.44% Yes

No 1,468 92.56%

#### C. EDUCATIONAL APPROPRIATENESS AND SUITABILITY

# **ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ONLY**

Number of Elementary Schools Reported: 994

Educational Appropriateness:

38. Size of instructional classrooms are large enough to allow for alternative room arrangements to facilitate curricular objectives.

Strongly agree with statement:

244 21.55%

Disagree with statement:

182 **16.08**%

Agree with statement:

685 **60.51%** 

Strongly disagree with statement:

21 1.86%

39. Pre-kindergarten and Kindergarten space accommodates developmental range and needs of young children by providing a minimum of 35 square feet per occupant.

Strongly agree with statement:

306 27.13%

Disagree with statement:

146 **12.94%** 

Agree with statement:

632 **56.03%** 

Strongly disagree with statement:

3.90%

40. Adequate space is available for	small w	ork aroup in	struction (less than 15 students).		
Strongly agree with statement:	236	20.94%	Disagree with statement:	362	32.12%
Agree with statement:	479	42.50%	Strongly disagree with statement:	50	4.44%
41. Adequate space is available to a 30 students.	allow ins	structional cl	lassrooms to maintain a class size of le	ss than	
Strongly agree with statement:	359	31.85%	Disagree with statement:	206	18.28%
Agree with statement:	542	48.09%	Strongly disagree with statement:	20	1.77%
42. Adequate space is available for	large w	ork group in	struction (more than 30 students).		
Strongly agree with statement:	158	14.02%	Disagree with statement:	501	44.45%
Agree with statement:	362	32.12%	Strongly disagree with statement:	106	9.41%
43. Equipment and furniture (such a building's student population.	as shelv	ing units, tal	bles, and chairs) are the appropriate siz	ze for th	e
Strongly agree with statement:	373	33.10%	Disagree with statement:	42	3.73%
Agree with statement:	708	62.82%	Strongly disagree with statement:	4	0.35%
Building Suitability for Education	):				
44. Buildings materials, color schen	nes, and	d decor prov	ide impetus to learning.		
Strongly agree with statement:	290	25.73%	Disagree with statement:	174	15.44%
Agree with statement:	647	57.41%	Strongly disagree with statement:	16	1.42%
45. Drinking fountain and restroom and conveniently located.	facilities	s are an app	ropriate height and size for the school i	building	population
Strongly agree with statement:	320	28.39%	Disagree with statement:	136	12.07%
Agree with statement:	656	58.21%	Strongly disagree with statement:	15	1.33%
46. Student services areas (e.g., co	unselor	and medica	al) ensure privacy and are of sufficient s	size.	
Strongly agree with statement:	219	19.45%	Disagree with statement:	375	33.30%
Agree with statement:	451	40.05%	Strongly disagree with statement:	81	7.19%
47. Building is accessible to studen	ts with d	disabilities.			
Strongly agree with statement:	366	32.50%	Disagree with statement:	155	13.77%
Agree with statement:	488	43.34%	Strongly disagree with statement:	117	10.39%
48. Space is available and appropri school day care.	ate for e	extended da	y learning opportunities, including befo	re and a	after
Strongly agree with statement:	197	17.84%	Disagree with statement:	263	23.82%
Agree with statement:	610	55.25%	Strongly disagree with statement:	34	3.08%
School Site Suitability:					
49. Site is large enough to meet ed	ucationa	al needs and	d is accessible.		
Strongly agree with statement:	343	30.76%	Disagree with statement:	159	14.26%
Agree with statement:	581	52.11%	Strongly disagree with statement:	32	2.87%

50. Site has a safe outdoor space w	here cl	hildren can e	ngage in a variety of games, sports, and	d moto	r activities.
Strongly agree with statement:	413	36.68%	Disagree with statement:	73	6.48%
Agree with statement:	616	54.71%	Strongly disagree with statement:	24	2.13%
51. Student loading areas are segre	aated i	from other ve	ehicular traffic and pedestrian walkways		
Strongly agree with statement:	158	14.03%	Disagree with statement:	433	38.45%
Agree with statement:	406	36.06%	Strongly disagree with statement:	129	11.46%
Playground Site and Equipment S	Suitahi	litv:			
		_	-40		
52. Playground size is appropriate for				145	12.88%
Strongly agree with statement:	359	31.88%	Disagree with statement:	145	
Agree with statement:	573	50.89%	Strongly disagree with statement:	49	4.35%
53. Playgrounds are separated from	street	s and parkin	g areas with fencing or other permanen	t mater	rial.
Strongly agree with statement:	288	25.58%	Disagree with statement:	258	22.91%
Agree with statement:	525	46.63%	Strongly disagree with statement:	55	4.88%
54. Playgrounds are well equipped	and ap	propriate for	age levels.		
Strongly agree with statement:	248	22.02%	Disagree with statement:	204	18.12%
Agree with statement:	633	56.22%	Strongly disagree with statement:	41	3.64%
55. Playground equipment is access	sible to	students wi	th disabilities.		
Strongly agree with statement:	160	14.21%	Disagree with statement:	412	36.59%
Agree with statement:	482	42.81%	Strongly disagree with statement:	72	6.39%
56 Playaround equipment is free o	f sham	edaes of so	ound construction, and in good condition	<b>)</b> .	
Strongly agree with statement:	294	26.11%	Disagree with statement:	 96	8.53%
Agree with statement:	711	63.14%	Strongly disagree with statement:	25	2.22%
Agroo with statement.	, , ,	0011470	ouongry aloughed min outlement		
MIDDLE, JUNIOR HIGH, AND	HIGH	SCHOOLS	ONLY Number of Secondary Schools R	Panarte	e <b>d</b> : 595
Educational Appropriateness:			·		
57. Size of instructional classrooms curricular objectives.	are la	rge enough t	to allow for alternative room arrangemer	nts to fa	acilitate
Strongly agree with statement:	145	22.83%	Disagree with statement:	108	17.01%
Agree with statement:	372	58.58%	Strongly disagree with statement:	10	1.57%
58. Size and design of special learn education) is compatible with in			labs, art, technology education, music a	and spe	ecial
Strongly agree with statement:	170	26.81%	Disagree with statement:	140	22.08%
Agree with statement:	290	45.74%	Strongly disagree with statement:	34	5.36%
59. Adequate space is available for	small	work group i	instruction (less than 15 students).		
Strongly agree with statement:	153	24.13%	Disagree with statement:	148	23.34%
Agree with statement:	315	49.68%	Strongly disagree with statement:	18	2.84%

60. Adequate space is available to a students.	allow in	structional cl	assrooms to maintain a class size of le	ss than	30
Strongly agree with statement:	226	35.65%	Disagree with statement:	88	13.88%
Agree with statement:	310	48.90%	Strongly disagree with statement:	10	1.58%
61. Adequate space is available for	large v	vork group in	struction (more than 30 students).		
Strongly agree with statement:	106	16.72%	Disagree with statement:	262	41.32%
Agree with statement:	221	34.86%	Strongly disagree with statement:	45	7.10%
Building Suitability for Education					
		d dooor prov	ido impotuo to lograina		
62. Buildings materials, color schen Strongly agree with statement:	180 180	a aecor provi 28.39%	Disagree with statement:	84	13.25%
Agree with statement:	352	55.52%	Strongly disagree with statement:	18	2.84%
Agree with statement.	332	JJ.J2 /6	Strongly disagree with statement.	10	2.0470
63. Drinking fountain and restroom and conveniently located.	facilitie	s are an appi	ropriate height and size for the school b	ouilding	population
Strongly agree with statement:	217	34.23%	Disagree with statement:	54	8.52%
Agree with statement:	352	55.52%	Strongly disagree with statement:	11	1.74%
64. Student services areas (e.g., co	unselo	r and medica	l) ensure privacy and are of sufficient s	size.	
Strongly agree with statement:	153	24.13%	Disagree with statement:	130	20.50%
Agree with statement:	317	50.00%	Strongly disagree with statement:	34	5.36%
65. Building is accessible to studen	ts with	disabilities.			
Strongly agree with statement:	237	37.38%	Disagree with statement:	62	9.78%
Agree with statement:	309	48.74%	Strongly disagree with statement:	26	4.10%
66. Space is available and appropri school day care.	ate for	extended da	y learning opportunities, including befor	re and a	after
Strongly agree with statement:	111	17.51%	Disagree with statement:	151	23.82%
Agree with statement:	338	53.31%	Strongly disagree with statement:	34	5.36%
School Site Suitability:					
67. Site is large enough to meet ed	ucation	al needs and	is accessible.		
Strongly agree with statement:	186	29.34%	Disagree with statement:	90	14.20%
Agree with statement:	326	51.42%	Strongly disagree with statement:	32	5.05%
68. Site has a safe outdoor space v	here s	tudents can e	engage in a variety of games, sports, a	nd mot	or activities
Strongly agree with statement:	201	31.70%	Disagree with statement:	57	8.99%
Agree with statement:	349	55.05%	Strongly disagree with statement:	27	4.26%
69. Student loading areas are segre	egated	from other ve	ehicular traffic and pedestrian walkways	S.	
Strongly agree with statement:	88	13.88%	Disagree with statement:	253	39.91%
Agree with statement:	219	34.54%	Strongly disagree with statement:	74	11.67%

#### Appendix A



O 1970-1979 O 1980-1989 O 1990-1999 **INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete survey as indicated.

Return survey via email by June 18, 1999 to: DPISMS@MAIL.STATE.WI.US

Or return via mail by June 18, 1999 to: **BOB SOLDNER SCHOOL** 

**FACILITY SURVEY** 

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

P.O. BOX 7841

PI-Q99-8

MADISON, WI 53707-7841 608-266-2803 FAX: 608-266-2840

This facility survey is to be completed on a school-by-school basis. That is, each individual school within the school district will be evaluated using the survey. This survey is the result of Section 115.33(4). Wis. Stats.. enacted into law in 1998 that

requires the State Superintendent to conduct a study of the physical condition and capacity of the public schools and their suitability for use as public schools.
1. Please enter your school district's local education agency (LEA) number. The LEA number is the four-digit code assigned to your district by the Department of Public Instruction (DPI).
School District LEA Number:
2. Please enter your school number. The school number is the four-digit code assigned to your school by DPI.
School Number:
3. The survey is divided into three sections: (a) Physical Structure and Mechanical Features; (b) School Safety; and (c) Educational Appropriateness and Suitability. To go directly to a specific section of the survey select one of the following options.
O Physical Structure and Mechanical Features [Go to question 4] O School Safety [Go to question 30]
O Educational Appropriateness and Suitability [Go to question 37]
A. PHYSICAL STRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL FEATURES
4. When was the school originally constructed? (Choose one).
O Pre-1900
O 1900-1909
O 1910-1919
O 1920-1929
O 1930-1939
O 1940-1949
O 1950-1959
O 1960-1969

5. In your opinion, is this school building overcrowded?
O Yes
O No
6. If the original building has been renovated or had additions, when was the most recent, MAJOR renovation or addition? (Choose one).
O No Renovation
O Before 1930
O 1930-1939
O 1940-1949
O 1950-1959
O 1960-1969
O 1970-1979
O 1980-1989
O 1990-1999
7. How many total square feet does the original building have? If exact measurements are not readily available, give your best estimate.  8. If the building has been renovated or added on to, how many total square feet exist now? If exact measurements are not readily available, give your best estimate. If the original building has not been renovated, enter zero.
9. How many portable classrooms are in use during 1998-99 school year?
10. Does the school accommodate any of its students in instructional facilities located OFF-SITE, such as rented space in another school, community center, church etc.? Do not include portable classrooms.
O Yes [Go to question 11]
O No [Go to question 12]
11. How many of this school's FTE students are housed in OFF-SITE facilities?

12. What is the overall condition of the original buildings and the permanent additions to the original buildings? Refer to the rating scale shown below, and select one for EACH category of building. If this school does not have any permanent additions, mark NA. Overall condition includes both physical condition and the capability of the buildings to meet the functional requirements of instructional programs.

Excellent: New or easily restorable to "like new" only minimal routine maintenance.

Good: Only routine maintenance or minor repair required.

Adequate: Some preventive maintenance and/or corrective repair required.

Fair: Fails to meet code and functional requirements in some cases; extensive repair. Poor: Consistent substandard performance; Major corrective repair or overhaul.

Replace: Non-operational or significantly substandard performance. Replacement.

NA: Not Applicable.

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Fair	Poor	Replace	NA
Original Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent additions to the original buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

at would be the total cost of all repairs/renovations/modernizations required to school's ON-SITE buildings in good overall condition? Give your best e. "Good" is defined as in need of only routine maintenance or minor repair. If sol's ON-SITE buildings are already in good (or better) condition, enter zero.
which of the sources listed below is this estimate based. Choose all that apply. Select. Click a second time to De-select.
Does not apply - already in good (or better) overall condition.
Facilities inspection/assessment performed within the last three years y licensed professional.
Repair/renovation/modernization work already being erformed/contracted for.
Capital improvement/facilities master plan or schedule.
My best professional judgement.
Opinions of others:

#### **School Facility Survey**

15. Overall what is the physical condition of each of the building features listed below for this school's ON-SITE buildings? Refer to the rating scale shown below, and select one for EACH feature listed.

Excellent: New or easily restorable to "like new" only minimal routine maintenance.

Good: Only routine maintenance or minor repair required.

Adequate: Some preventive maintenance and/or corrective repair required.

Fair: Fails to meet code and functional requirements in some cases; extensive repair.

Poor: Consistent substandard performance; Major corrective repair or overhaul.

Replace: Non-operational or significantly substandard performance. Replacement.

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Fair	Poor	Replace
Roofs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Framing, floors, foundations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exterior walls, finishes, windows, doors	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interior finishes, trims	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumbing	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heating, ventilation, air conditioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electrical power	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electrical lighting	0	0	0	0	0	0
Life safety requirements (e.g., emergency lighting and warning systems, exit signs)	0	0	0	0	0	0

16. How adequate is your current maintenance budget for keeping your bugood overall condition? "Good" is defined as in need of only routine main	ildings in <i>tenance or</i>
minor repair.	

0	Very Adequate
0	Adequate
0	Somewhat adequate
0	Not adequate at all

17. How satisfactory or unsatisfactory is each of the following environmental factors in this school's ON-SITE buildings? Select one for EACH environmental factor listed.

		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Very Unsatisfactory
Lighting	0	0	0	0
Heating	0	0	0	0
Ventilation	0	0	0	0
Indoor air quality	0	0	0	0
Acoustics for noise control	0	0	0	0
Flexibility of instructional space (e.g., expandability, convertibility, adaptability)	0	0	0	0
Energy efficiency/ conservation	0	0	0	0
Physical security of buildings	0	0	0	0
Exterior noise	0	0	0	0

18. How many instructiona September 1998?	l classrooms w	ere in use as	of the third Fri	day in
19. Does the school building	g have centra	l air conditio	ning (cools all i	nterior space)?
O Yes [Go to question of the left of the l	<del></del>			
20. Does this school have a and/or the library? (Check a		g in instruction	onal classrooms	, computer labs,
☐ Yes, in instructio ☐ Yes, in computer ☐ Yes, the library		;		
21. How many instructiona	l classrooms h	ave air condi	itioning? Insert	a number.
# of classrooms:	and the same of th		List di partiripation del la constitución de la con	
22. Does this school have a	separate room	for a library	//resource/media	a center?
O Yes [Go to question of O No [Go to question of O No I Go to question of O No I G No				

size of a typical classroom in your building?
O Smaller [Go to question 24] O About the same size [Go to question 25] O Larger [Go to question 24]
<b>24.</b> How many classrooms would fit in the space used for your library/resource/media center?
# of classrooms:
25. If you have a library/resource/media center, is it staffed by a licensed, professional librarian?
O Yes [Go to question 26] O No [Go to question 27]
<b>26.</b> Indicate the percent time that your library/resource/media center is staffed by a licensed, professional librarian? For example, if the library is staffed 50% of the time by a licensed librarian, insert 50.
Percent:
27. Does this school have a separate room for a parent/family center?
A parent/family center is a room provided for parents, family and community members to obtain resources, meet with school staff, do volunteer activities and conduct meetings.
O Yes [Go to question 28] O No [Go to question 30]
<b>28.</b> How does the size of your school's parent/family center compare with the size of a typical classroom in your building?
O Smaller [Go to question 29] O About the same size [Go to question 30] O Larger [Go to question 29]
29. About how many classrooms would fit in the space used for the parent/family center?
# of classrooms:

#### **B. SCHOOL SAFETY**

<b>30.</b> Does your school have a "Locked-Door" policy (doors can only be opened from the inside)?
O Yes O No
31. Does your school have a "Check-In" policy for school visitors?
O Yes O No
<b>32.</b> Does your school have an established emergency response plan for dealing with school safety issues?
O Yes O No
33. Does your school have on-site security provided by police officers or private security guards?
O Yes O No
<b>34.</b> Does your school have parent or other community volunteers to assist in monitoring the school?
O Yes
O No
35. Does your school have metal detectors?
O Yes
O No
<b>36.</b> Does your school have surveillance cameras located within the school building or on school grounds?
O Yes O No
C. EDUCATIONAL APPROPRIATENESS AND SUITABILITY
37. The remaining statements are divided into two sections. The first section, #38 through #56, should be filled out by elementary level schools ONLY. The second section #57 through #69, should be filled out by middle, junior high or high schools ONLY. These statements should be answered in the context of how each one applies to the individual school. Which type of school?
<ul><li>Elementary School [Go to question 38]</li><li>Middle, Junior High or High School [Go to question 57]</li></ul>

# ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ONLY

# EDUCATIONAL APPROPRIATENESS:

<b>38.</b> Size of instructional classrooms are large enough to allow for alternative room arrangements to facilitate curricular objectives.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
39. Pre-kindergarten and kindergarten space accommodates developmental range and needs of young children by providing a minimum of 35 square feet per occupant.
<ul> <li>O Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>O Agree with statement</li> <li>O Disagree with statement</li> <li>O Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>40.</b> Adequate space is available for small work group instruction (less than 15 students).
<ul> <li>O Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>O Agree with statement</li> <li>O Disagree with statement</li> <li>O Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>41.</b> Adequate space is available to allow instructional classrooms to maintain a class size of less than 30 students.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>42.</b> Adequate space is available for large work group instruction (more than 30 students).
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>

appropriate size for the buildings student population.
<ul><li>O Strongly agree with statement</li><li>O Agree with statement</li><li>O Disagree with statement</li></ul>
O Strongly disagree with statement
BUILDING SUITABILITY FOR EDUCATION:
44. Building materials, color schemes, and décor provide impetus to learning.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>45.</b> Drinking fountain and restroom facilities are an appropriate height and size for the school building population and conveniently located.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>46.</b> Student services areas (e.g., counselor and medical) ensure privacy and are of sufficient size.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
47. Building is accessible to students with disabilities.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>48.</b> Space is available and appropriate for extended day learning opportunities, including before and after school day care.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>

# **SCHOOL SITE SUITABILITY: 49.** Site is large enough to meet educational needs and is accessible. O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement. O Strongly disagree with statement **50.** Site has a safe outdoor space where children can engage in a variety of games, sports and motor activities. O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement 51. Student loading areas are segregated from other vehicular traffic and pedestrian walkways. O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement PLAYGROUND SITE AND EQUIPMENT SUITABILITY: **52.** Playground size is appropriate for number of students. O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement 53. Playgrounds are separated from streets and parking areas with fencing or other permanent material. O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement

54. Playgrounds are will equipped and appropriate for age levels.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement

O Strongly disagree with statement

55. Playground equipment is accessible to students with disabilities.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>56.</b> Playground equipment is free of sharp edges, of sound construction, and in good condition.
O Strongly agree with statement [Go to question 70]
O Agree with statement [Go to question 70]
O Disagree with statement [Go to question 70]
O Strongly disagree with statement [Go to question 70]
MIDDLE, JUNIOR HIGH AND HIGH SCHOOL ONLY
EDUCATIONAL APPROPRIATENESS:
57. Size of instructional classrooms are large enough to allow for alternative room arrangements to facilitate curricular objectives.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
58. Size and design of special learning areas (science labs, art, technology education, music and special education) is compatible with instructional need
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>59.</b> Adequate space is available for small work group instruction (less than 15 students).
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>60.</b> Adequate space is available to allow instructional classrooms to maintain a class size of less than 30 students.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement

<b>61.</b> Adequate space is available for large work group instruction (more than 30 students).
<ul> <li>O Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>O Agree with statement</li> <li>O Disagree with statement</li> <li>O Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
BUILDING SUITABILITY FOR EDUCATION:
62. Building materials, color schemes, and décor provide impetus to learning.
O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>63.</b> Drinking fountain and restroom facilities are an appropriate height and size for the school building population and conveniently located.
O Strongly agree with statement O Agree with statement O Disagree with statement O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>64.</b> Student services areas (e.g., counselor and medical) ensure privacy and are of sufficient size.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
65. Building is accessible to students with disabilities.
<ul> <li>O Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>O Agree with statement</li> <li>O Disagree with statement</li> <li>O Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>
<b>66.</b> Space is available and appropriate for extended day learning opportunities, including before and after school day care.
<ul> <li>Strongly agree with statement</li> <li>Agree with statement</li> <li>Disagree with statement</li> <li>Strongly disagree with statement</li> </ul>

#### **SCHOOL SITE SUITABILITY:**

67. Site is large enough to meet educational needs and is accessible.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
<b>68.</b> Site has a safe outdoor space where students can engage in a variety of games, sports and motor activities.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
69. Student loading areas are segregated from other vehicular traffic and pedestrian walkways.
O Strongly agree with statement
O Agree with statement
O Disagree with statement
O Strongly disagree with statement
70. Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. Select the submit survey now to send your responses to us.
Submit Survey

This questionnaire was created by Perseus SurveySolutions for the Web.

# Appendix B

School District	<u>CESA</u>	County	School District	<u>CESA</u>	County
Abbotsford	10	Clark	Cadott Community	10	Chippewa
Adams-Friendship Area	05	Adams	Cambria-Friesland	05	Columbia
Albany	02	Green	Cambridge	02	Dane
Algoma	07	Kewaunee	Cameron	11	Barron
Alma	04	Buffalo	Campbellsport	06	Fond du Lac
Almond-Bancroft	05	Portage	Cashton	04	Monroe
Altoona	10	Eau Claire	Cassville	03	Grant
Amery	11	Polk	Cedar Grove-Belgium Area	07	Sheboygan
Antigo	09	Langlade	Cedarburg	01	Ozaukee
Appleton Area	06	Outagamie	Central/Westosha UHS	02	Kenosha
Arcadia	04	Trempealeau	Chetek	11	Barron
Argyle	03	Lafayette	Chilton	07	Calumet
Arrowhead UHS	01	Waukesha	Chippewa Falls Area	10	Chippewa
Ashland	12	Ashland	Clayton	11	Polk
Ashwaubenon	07	Brown	Clear Lake	11	Polk
Athens	09	Marathon	Clinton Community	02	Rock
Augusta	10	Eau Claire	Clintonville	08	Waupaca
Baldwin-Woodville Area	11	Saint Croix	Cochrane-Fountain City	04	Buffalo
Bangor	04	La Crosse	Colby	10	Clark
Baraboo	05	Sauk	Coleman	08	Marinette
Barron Area	11	Barron	Colfax	11	Dunn
Bayfield	12	Bayfield	Columbus	05	Columbia
Beaver Dam	06	Dodge	Cornell	10	Chippewa
Belleville	02	Dane	Crandon	08	Forest
Belmont Community	03	Lafayette	Cuba City	03	Grant
Beloit	02	Rock	Cumberland	11	Barron
Beloit Turner	02	Rock	D C Everest Area	09	Marathon
Benton	03	Lafayette	De Forest Area	02	Dane
Berlin Area	06	Green Lake	De Pere	07	Brown
Big Foot UHS	02	Walworth	De Soto Area	04	Vernon
Birchwood	11	Washburn	Delavan-Darien	02	Walworth
Black Hawk	03	Lafayette	Denmark	07	Brown
Black River Falls	04	Jackson	Dodgeville	03	lowa
Blair-Taylor	04	Trempealeau	Dover #1	02	Racine
Bloomer	10	Chippewa	Drummond Area	12	Bayfield
Bonduel	08	Shawano	Durand	11	Pepin
Boscobel Area	03	Grant	East Troy Community	02	Walworth
Boulder Junction J1	09	Vilas	Eau Claire Area	10	Eau Claire
Bowler	08	Shawano	Edgar	09	Marathon
Brillion	07	Calumet	Edgerton	02	Rock
Bristol #1	02	Kenosha	Elcho	09	Langlade
Brodhead	02	Green	Eleva-Strum	10	Trempealeau
Brown Deer	01	Milwaukee	Elk Mound Area	11	Dunn
Bruce	10	Rusk	Elkhart Lake-Glenbeulah	07	Sheboygan
Burlington Area	02	Racine	Elkhorn Area	02	Walworth
=					

School District	CESA	County	School District	CESA	County
Ellsworth Community	11	Pierce	Hustisford	06	Dodge
Elmbrook	01	Waukesha	Independence	04	Trempealeau
Elroy-Kendall-Wilton	04	Juneau	Iola-Scandinavia	05	Waupaca
Erin	06	Washington	Iowa-Grant	03	lowa
Fall Creek	10	Eau Claire	Ithaca	03	Richland
Fall River	05	Columbia	Janesville	02	Rock
Fennimore Community	03	Grant	Jefferson	02	Jefferson
Flambeau	10	Rusk	Johnson Creek	02	Jefferson
Florence	08	Florence	Kaukauna Area	06	Outagamie
Fond du Lac	06	Fond du Lac	Kenosha	01	Kenosha
Fontana J8	02	Walworth	Kettle Moraine	01	Waukesha
Fort Atkinson	02	Jefferson	Kewaskum	06	Washington
Fox Point J2	01	Milwaukee	Kewaunee	07	Kewaunee
Frederic	11	Polk	Kickapoo Area	03	Vernon
Freedom Area	06	Outagamie	Kimberly Area	06	Outagamie
Friess Lake	06	Washington	La Crosse	04	La Crosse
Galesville-Ettrick-Trempealeau	04	Trempealeau	La Farge	04	Vernon
Geneva J4	02	Walworth	Lac du Flambeau #1	09	Vilas
Genoa City J2	02	Walworth	Lake Country	01	Waukesha
Germantown	01	Washington	Lake Geneva J1	02	Walworth
Gillett	08	Oconto	Lake Geneva-Genoa City UHS	02	Walworth
Gilman	10	Taylor	Lake Holcombe	10	Chippewa
Gilmanton	10	Buffalo	Lake Mills Area	02	Jefferson
Glendale-River Hills	01	Milwaukee	Lakeland UHS	09	Oneida
Glenwood City	11	Saint Croix	Lancaster Community	03	Grant
Glidden	12	Ashland	Laona	08	Forest
Goodman-Armstrong	08	Marinette	Lena	08	Oconto
Granton Area	10	Clark	Linn J4	02	Walworth
Grantsburg	11	Burnett	Linn J6	02	Walworth
Green Bay Area	07	Brown	Little Chute Area	06	Outagamie
Green Lake	06	Green Lake	Lodi	05	Columbia
Greendale	01	Milwaukee	Lomira	06	Dodge
Greenfield	01	Milwaukee	Loyal	10	Clark
Greenwood	10	Clark	Luck	11	Polk
Hamilton	01	Waukesha	Luxemburg-Casco	07	Kewaunee
Hartford J1	06	Washington	Madison Metropolitan	02	Dane
Hartford UHS	06	Washington	Manawa	06	Waupaca
Hartland-Lakeside J3	01	Waukesha	Manitowoc	07	Manitowoc
Hayward Community	12	Sawyer	Maple	12	Douglas
Herman #22	06	Dodge	Maple Dale-Indian Hill	01	Milwaukee
Hilbert	07	Calumet	Marathon City	09	Marathon
Hillsboro	04	Vernon	Marion	08	Waupaca
Holmen	04	La Crosse	Marshall	02	Dane
Horicon	06	Dodge	Marshfield	05	Wood
Howards Grove	07	Sheboygan	Mauston	05	Juneau
Howard-Suamico	07	Brown	Mayville	06	Dodge
Hudson	11	Saint Croix	McFarland	02	Dane
Hurley	12	Iron	Medford Area	10	Taylor
					-

School District	<u>CESA</u>	County	School District	CESA	County
Mellen	12	Ashland	Oostburg	07	Sheboygan
Menasha	06	Winnebago	Oregon	02	Dane
Menominee Indian	08	Menominee	Oshkosh Area	06	Winnebago
Mequon-Thiensville	01	Ozaukee	Owen-Withee	10	Clark
Mercer	12	Iron	Pardeeville Area	05	Columbia
Merrill Area	09	Lincoln	Paris J1	02	Kenosha
Merton Community	01	Waukesha	Park Falls	12	Price
Middleton-Cross Plains	02	Dane	Parkview	02	Rock
Milton	02	Rock	Pecatonica Area	03	Lafayette
Milwaukee	01	Milwaukee	Peshtigo	08	Marinette
Minocqua J1	09	Oneida	Pewaukee	01	Waukesha
Mishicot	07	Manitowoc	Phelps	09	Vilas
Mondovi	10	Buffalo	Phillips	12	Price
Monona Grove	02	Dane	Pittsville	05	Wood
Monroe	02	Green	Platteville	03	Grant
Montello	05	Marquette	Plum City	11	Pierce
Monticello	02	Green	Port Edwards	05	Wood
Mosinee	09	Marathon	Portage Community	05	Columbia
Mount Horeb Area	02	Dane	Potosi	03	Grant
Mukwonago	01	Waukesha	Poynette	05	Columbia
Muskego-Norway	01	Waukesha	Prairie du Chien Area	03	Crawford
Necedah Area	05	Juneau	Prairie Farm	11	Barron
Neenah	06	Winnebago	Prentice	09	Price
Neillsville	10	Clark	Prescott	11	Pierce
Nekoosa	05	Wood	Princeton	05	Green Lake
New Auburn	10	Chippewa	Racine	01	Racine
New Berlin	01	Waukesha	Randall J1	02	Kenosha
New Glarus	02	Green	Randolph	05	Columbia
New Holstein	07	Calumet	Raymond #14	02	Racine
New London	06	Waupaca	Reedsburg	05	Sauk
New Richmond	11	Saint Croix	Reedsville	07	Manitowoc
Niagara	08	Marinette	Rhinelander	09	Oneida
Nicolet UHS	01	Milwaukee	Rib Lake	09	Taylor
Norris	01	Waukesha	Rice Lake Area	11	Barron
North Cape	02	Racine	Richland	03	Richland
North Crawford	03	Crawford	Richmond	01	Waukesha
North Fond du Lac	06	Fond du Lac	Rio Community	05	Columbia
North Lake	01	Waukesha	River Falls	11	Pierce
Northland Pines	09	Vilas	River Ridge	03	Grant
Northwood	12	Washburn	River Valley	03	Sauk
Norwalk-Ontario	04	Monroe	Riverdale	03	Grant
Oak Creek-Franklin	01	Milwaukee	Rosendale-Brandon	06	Fond du Lac
Oakfield	06	Fond du Lac	Rosholt	05	Portage
Oconomowoc Area	01	Waukesha	Rubicon J6	06	Dodge
Oconto	08	Oconto	Saint Croix Central	11	Saint Croix
Oconto Falls	08	Oconto	Saint Croix Falls	11	Polk
Omro	06	Winnebago	Saint Francis	01	Milwaukee
Onalaska	04	La Crosse	Salem #7	02	Kenosna
exercise of the transfer of the	•	17.00 TO			

Salem J202KenoshaVerona Area02DaneSauk Prairie05SaukViroqua Area04VernonSeneca03CrawfordWabeno Area08ForestSevastopol07DoorWalworth J102WalworthSeymour Community07OutagamieWashburn12BayfieldShawano-Gresham08ShawanoWashington07DoorSheboygan Area07SheboyganWashington-Caldwell02Racine	
Sauk Prairie05SaukViroqua Area04VernonSeneca03CrawfordWabeno Area08ForestSevastopol07DoorWalworth J102WalworthSeymour Community07OutagamieWashburn12BayfieldShawano-Gresham08ShawanoWashington07Door	
Seneca03CrawfordWabeno Area08ForestSevastopol07DoorWalworth J102WalworthSeymour Community07OutagamieWashburn12BayfieldShawano-Gresham08ShawanoWashington07Door	
Sevastopol07DoorWalworth J102WalworthSeymour Community07OutagamieWashburn12BayfieldShawano-Gresham08ShawanoWashington07Door	
Seymour Community 07 Outagamie Washburn 12 Bayfield Shawano-Gresham 08 Shawano Washington 07 Door	
Shawano-Gresham 08 Shawano Washington 07 Door	
On Booing	
Sheboygan Area 07 Sheboygan Washington-Caldwell 02 Racine	
Sheboygan Falls 07 Sheboygan Waterford J1 02 Racine	
Shell Lake 11 Washburn Waterford UHS 02 Racine	
Shullsburg 03 Lafayette Waterloo 02 Jefferson	
Silver Lake J1 02 Kenosha Watertown 02 Jefferson	
Siren 11 Burnett Waukesha 01 Waukesha	
Slinger 06 Washington Wausau 09 Marathon	
Solon Springs 12 Douglas Wausaukee 08 Marinette	
Somerset 11 Saint Croix Wautoma Area 05 Waushara	
South Milwaukee 01 Milwaukee Wauwatosa 01 Milwaukee	
South Shore 12 Bayfield Wauzeka-Steuben 03 Crawford	
Southern Door 07 Door Webster 11 Burnett	
Southwestern Wisconsin 03 Grant West Allis 01 Milwaukee	
Sparta Area 04 Monroe West Bend 06 Washingto	n
Spencer 10 Marathon West Salem 04 La Crosse	
Spooner 11 Washburn Westby Area 04 Vernon	
Spring Valley 11 Pierce Westfield 05 Marquette	
Stanley-Boyd Area 10 Chippewa Weston 03 Sauk	
Stevens Point Area 05 Portage Weyauwega-Fremont 06 Waupaca	
Stockbridge 07 Calumet Weyerhaeuser Area 10 Rusk	
Stone Bank 01 Waukesha Wheatland J1 02 Kenosha	
Stoughton Area 02 Dane White Lake 08 Langlade	
Stratford 09 Marathon Whitefish Bay 01 Milwaukee	:
Sturgeon Bay 07 Door Whitehall 04 Trempeale	au `
Sun Prairie Área 02 Dane Whitewater 02 Walworth	
Superior 12 Douglas Whitnall 01 Milwaukee	
Suring 08 Oconto Wild Rose 05 Waushara	
Thorp 10 Clark Williams Bay 02 Walworth	
Three Lakes 09 Oneida Wilmot Grade 02 Kenosha	
Tigerton 08 Shawano Wilmot UHS 02 Kenosha	
Tomah Area 04 Monroe Winneconne Community 06 Winnebag	0
Tomahawk 09 Lincoln Wisconsin Dells 05 Sauk	
Tomorrow River 05 Portage Wisconsin Heights 02 Dane	
Tri-County Area 05 Waushara Wisconsin Rapids 05 Wood	
Twin Lakes #4 02 Kenosha Wittenberg-Birnamwood 08 Shawano	
Two Rivers 07 Manitowoc Wonewoc-Union Center 04 Juneau	
Union Grove J1 02 Racine Woodruff J1 09 Oneida	
Union Grove UHS 02 Racine Wrightstown Community 07 Brown	
Unity 11 Polk Yorkville J2 02 Racine	
Valders Area 07 Manitowoc	

### Appendix C

School District	CESA	County	School District	CESA	County
Alma Center	04	Jackson	Menomonie Area	11	Dunn
Auburndale	05	Wood	Mineral Point	03	lowa
Barneveld	03	lowa	Neosho J3	06	Dodge
Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine	08	Marinette	New Lisbon	05	Juneau
Boyceville Community	11	Dunn	Northern Ozaukee	01	Ozaukee
Brighton #1	02	Kenosha	Norway J7	02	Racine
Butternut	12	Ashland	Osceola	11	Polk
Crivitz	80	Marinette	Osseo-Fairchild	10	Trempealeau
Cudahy	01	Milwaukee	Palmyra-Eagle Area	02	Jefferson
Darlington Community	03	Lafayette	Pepin Area	11	Pepin
Deerfield Community	02	Dane	Plymouth	07	Sheboygan
Dodgeland	06	Dodge	Port Washington-Saukville	01	Ozaukee
Elmwood	11	Pierce	Pulaski Community	07	Brown
Evansville Community	02	Rock	Random Lake	07	Sheboygan
Franklin Public	01	Milwaukee	Richfield J1	06	Washington
Gibraltar Area	07	Door	Ripon	06	Fond du Lac
Grafton	01	Ozaukee	Sharon J11	02	Walworth
Highland	03	lowa	Shiocton	06	Outagamie
Hortonville	06	Outagamie	Shorewood	01	Milwaukee
Juda	02	Green	Swallow	01	Waukesha
Kiel Area	07	Manitowoc	Turtle Lake	11	Barron
Kohler	07	Sheboygan	Waunakee Community	02	Dane
Ladysmith-Hawkins	10	Rusk	Waupaca	05	Waupaca
Marinette	08	Marinette	Waupun	06	Fond du Lac
Markesan	06	Green Lake	West De Pere	07	Brown
Melrose-Mindoro	04	Jackson	Winter	12	Sawyer
Menomonee Falls	01	Waukesha			